

PAMBANSANG PUNONGHIMPILAN TANOD BAYBAYIN NG PILIPINAS

(National Headquarters Philippine Coast Guard) **Technical Working Group for Watercraft**139 25th Street, Port Area, 1018 Manila

SUPPLEMENTAL/BID BULLETIN NO. 02-2025

This Supplemental/Bid Bulletin No. 02-2025 is issued to include the following clarification/changes raised by the prospective bidders as an integral part of the Bidding Documents for the Supply and Delivery of 19 Units High-Speed Response Boat detailed as follows:

| SECTION | ITEM NO. | PCG REQUIREMENT | CLARIFICATION | RESPONSE |
|------------------------------------|----------|--|--|---|
| Section II. Instruction to Bidders | 10.2 | The Bidder's SLCC as indicated in ITB Clause5.3 should have been completed within five (5) years prior to the deadline for the submission and receipt of bids. | We would like to respectfully request that the five (5) year requirement for the completion of SLCC be extended to eight (8) years, or at most, 10 years | It must be stressed that the SLCC criterion is so required in order to ensure that the government is contracting with an entity that has accomplished at least one project with a value no less than fifty percent (50%) of the contract to be bid or in this case, three (3) contracts applying the aggregate contracts rule. This assures the government that the bidder has sufficient experience and reliable track record or it is not the first time that the prospective bidder will be required to accomplish such an |
| | | | | undertaking, and therefore, provides the government a level of security that such bidder, if awarded the contract, will be able to satisfactorily fulfill the contract requirements. It will be noted that the Coast Guard has many experiences in the past where suppliers were not able to complete or abandoned the project during its implementation. Thus, the BAC adopted the present SLCC's definition as provided |



| | for in the Bidding Documents. |
|--|--|
| | We wish to reiterate that procuring entities are given the discretion to define the word "similar" in the SLCC requirement because it is in the best position to do so as it knows the technical components needed in the |
| | contract to be bid. In this case, |
| | the definition and the relevant period of completion of the SLCC were already determined by the BAC and the implementing unit as reflected in the Bidding Documents. The five (5) year SLCC |
| | requirement under Republic Act (R.A.) 9184 and its Implementing Rules and Regulations (IRR) is essential to guarantee that the bidders have recent and applicable experience that is consistent with up-to- |
| | date industry standards and practices. Restricting the SLCC duration to five years guarantees that bidders have kept their technical expertise, resources, and operational preparedness. Increasing the relevant period requirement of the SLCC to |
| | eight (8) or ten (10) years can put projects at risk of outmoded practices, decreased contractor reliability, and decreased accountability. The five (5) year period also promotes good |
| | competition by providing incentives those contractors who excel consistently in their profession. |
| | The sustainability of this level of |
| | excellence is important in safeguarding public funds, |
| | * |

| Bid Data C | ITB For this purpose, contracts similar to the Project shall be: a. Supply and | respectfully request that the similar contracts to be considered shall | ensuring quality in the projects, and realizing effective procurement results. REQUEST DENIED Revised As: Supply and Delivery of New FRP Rigid Hull Boat or Fiberglass It must be stressed that the SLCC |
|------------|---|--|--|
| | | or aluminum hull. | criterion is so required in order to ensure that the government is contracting with an entity that has accomplished at least one project with a value no less than fifty percent (50%) of the contract to be bid or in this case, three (3) contracts applying the aggregate contracts rule. This assures the government that the bidder has sufficient experience and reliable track record or it is not the first time that the prospective bidder will be required to accomplish such an undertaking, and therefore, provides the government a level of security that such bidder, if awarded the contract, will be able to satisfactorily fulfill the contract requirements. It will be noted that the Coast Guard has many experiences in the past where suppliers were not able to complete or abandoned the project during its implementation. Thus, the BAC adopted the present SLCC's definition as provided for in the Bidding Documents. We wish to reiterate that procuring entities are given the discretion to define the word "similar" in the SLCC requirement because it is in the best position to do so as it knows the technical components needed in the |

| Section VI. Schedule of Requirement s | Delivery Schedule | All terms and conditions of the contract shall be effective upon | that the said 90 days | the definition of the SLCC were already determined by the BAC and the implementing unit as reflected in the Bidding Documents. REQUEST DENIED The proposed extension of two hundred ten (210) days delivery |
|--|----------------------|---|-----------------------|--|
| | | receipt of the Notice to Proceed. First (1st) Tranche: First Six (6) units white within (90) calendar days upon approval of the ff: 1. Detailed design; 2. Construction schedule detailing the major activities and milestones during the entire delivery period Second (2nd) Tranche: Six (6) units within (90) calendar days aft the completion of | extended to 210 days. | period is unnecessary and inconsistent with the principles of effective, competitive, and efficient procurement as outlined in RA 9184 and its IRR. The PCG is tasked with critical maritime safety and security missions, and the timely delivery of these vessels are essential for addressing current operational demands. Any delays in the delivery would adversely affect our ability to fulfill these responsibilities, potentially compromising the safety and security of our maritime domain |
| | | the delivery, inspection and acceptance of the first six (6) units of high-speed response boats indicated in the First (1st) Tranche. Third (3rd) Tranche: Seven (7) units within (90) | | |

| | | calendar days after the completion of the delivery, inspection and acceptance of the six (6) units of high-speed response boats specified in the Second (2 nd) | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| Section VII. Technical Specification s | Requirem ent/s to be provide during post-qualificati on if the bidder is declared as the Lowest/Single Calculate d Bid | existing prototype or sample of manufactured similar boat five (5) days after receipt of the | respectfully request that the requirement providing a prototype five days after the | undergone thorough market research and was tediously assessed before it was approved and included in the Technical Specifications of the project. The project is mission critical and therefore, the stated requirement in the Technical Specifications remains the same. The requirement to submit a prototype or sample of a similar boat within five (5) days remains justified. This requirement serves to verify the bidder's expertise, production capability, and relevant experience for the project. This ensures that the bidder possesses the necessary skills and knowledge to successfully |
| | | | | complete the contract. Please note that the procurement rules allow procuring entities to require bidders to submit during post-qualification stage samples, in this case, prototypes, for the subject bidding. In GPPB-TSO NPM Opinion No. 024-2018, it states that: "Disqualification during the Post-Qualification stage is covered by Section 34 of the 2016 IRR, where the Bids and |

| | | | | Awards Committee (BAC) verifies, validates and ascertains all statements made and the documents submitted by the bidder with the Lowest Calculated Bid (LCB) or Highest rated bid (HRB), as the case may be, using non-discretionary pass/fail criteria. The verification under the post- |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| | | | | qualification stage is not limited to the examination of documents submitted by the bidder, but also includes inspection of the subject equipment vis-Ã -vis the technical specifications specified in the bidding documents. In the event that the verification, validation, and ascertainment conducted by the Procuring Entity (PE) yield a negative result; or on the scheduled date of inspection, the bidder fails to present the sample good or equipment for examination or evaluation, the BAC has the prerogative to declare the bidder post-disqualified for failure to comply with the requirements. xxx" |
| Section VII. Technical Specification | Other requirements to be | Certificate issued by the manufacturer | We would like to respectfully request that the indicated | REQUEST DENIED Requiring a Certificate of |
| S | submitted by the Bidder during Bid Submissi on and Opening | clearly indicating that the bidder is an Authorized | distributorship requirement be removed to provide equeal opportunity to | Distributorship for OEM and OBM components guarantees authenticity, quality, and compliance. It confirms the supplier's approval, allowing ac cess to warranties, technical support, and sound supply chains. It ensures procurement standards are met, blocks unau thorized resellers, and protects against legal risk by ensuring accountability and product dependability. |

| | Manufacturer | |
|--------------------|--|--|
| For | or guidance and information of all concerned. | |
| | | |
| RA l Cha | Chairperson, NHQ-PCG Bids and Awards Committee | |